

Mineral composition and anti-nutrient contents of five selected forages fed to small ruminants in Epe Local Government Area, Lagos state

Adebayo, B. J.* Okunade, S.A.¹ and Adekanbi, A.O.²

**Department of Agricultural Technology, Yaba College of Technology, Yaba, Lagos, Nigeria*

¹Department of Animal Production Technology, Federal College of Wildlife Management, New Bussa, Niger State

²Federal College of Animal Health and Production Technology, Moor Plantation, Ibadan

**Corresponding Author: babatunde.adebayo@yabatech.edu.ng; Phone number: 08030559306*

Target audience: Ruminant farmers, Researchers, Livestock Extension agents

Abstract

*The mineral composition and anti-nutritional factors of five selected forages *Panicum maximum* (T₁), *Commelina benghalensis* (T₂), *Chamaecostus cupidatus* (T₃), (*Mimosa pudica* (T₄) and *Aspenlenum bulbiferum* (T₅) were evaluated. Flame photometer was used to determine Na, K, and Ca while Zn and Fe were assayed. Anti-nutritional factors (ANFs) including phytate, oxalate, saponin and tannin were also determined using appropriate technique. The result of the mineral analysis indicated that *Commelina benghalensis* (T₂) had significant ($p < 0.05$) high values for K (2.55%), Fe (0.4%), Zn (0.05%), Ca (2.19%) and P (0.56%). *Aspenlenum bulbiferum* (T₅) had significant ($p < 0.05$) low values for K (0.83%), Cu (0.005%), Zn (0.019%) and Mg (0.15%). There were significant ($p < 0.05$) differences in all the anti-nutritional factors analysed, with the highest saponin value (9.16%) observed in T₄ and the least value 2.76% in T₁. Tannin values ranged between 2.32% and 5.36% in T₃ and T₅ respectively. The results showed that the selected forages were of high nutritional quality due to the high mineral contents with moderate presence of anti-nutritional factors, and may therefore form good feed resources for ruminant animal production.*

Key words: Mineral composition, Anti-nutrient factors, Ruminant animal, Forages, Supplements

Description of problem

During dry season, ruminant diets are limited by shortages in amount and quality of available forage (1), crop residues or by-products (2) which result in reduced livestock productivity in the tropical countries mostly in the dry season (3). (4) and (5) further reported that browse forages are increasingly acknowledged worldwide and they provide protection, vitamins and frequently mineral elements which are lacking in grassland pastures. Forage plants are important sources of nourishment for grazing ruminants and as supplements to

improve the productivity of herbivores fed on low quality feeds. They also form part of the complex interactions between plants, animals and crops (6), the positive aspect of which is to help balance a plant-animal-soil ecosystem from which there is sustainable source of feeds (7). The availability of a variety of these feeds and the selection process enables the herbivores especially the small ruminants to extend as well as meet their feed preferences. Traditional farmers in the semi-arid region of Nigeria allow their ruminants to browse on these forage plants in the range lands due to the availability and

they also cut and feed these plants as supplements based on experience and convenience (8). Forage plants also contains other chemical compounds such as saponin, tannin, oxalate, phytate, tyrpsin inhibitors and cyanogenic glycosides, which are known as anti-nutrient factors and are biologically active (9). Despite the handiness and proximity of these forages there is need for continuous screening to identify those with good potentials as livestock fodder that could serve as alternatives to those species which have already been evaluated (10). This study therefore examines the mineral and anti-nutrient contents of the selected forages.

Materials and methods

Location of Study

The plants were collected within the environment of Yaba College of technology, Epe campus. Epe is located at longitude 6⁰35' N and 3⁰59'N in the north of Lekki lagoon. It has a total land area of approximately 1,184km² with annual rainfall of 2000 – 3000mm (11)

Sample Collection

Sample of 5 Plants (*Mimosa pudica*, *Aspenlenum bulbiferum*, *Commelina*

benghalensis, *Chamaecoustus cupidatus* and *Panicum maximum*) were collected within the aforementioned area of Lagos State before flowering and oven dried for 72 hours to constant weight to determine the dry matter. The dry matter was milled, and stored in Nylon sachets. It was sent for laboratory analysis.

Mineral analysis

The mineral composition: sodium, potassium and calcium were determined with flame photometer and phosphorus, Zinc and Iron was assayed according to the (12) method analysis.

Anti-nutritional factor analysis

Saponin, Tannin, Oxalate and Phytate were determined quantitatively by method as established by (2).

Statistical analysis: The experimental design was a completely randomized layout. Data was analysed using one way analysis of variance with the use of statistical package to generate means and standard error using Duncan Multiple Range test (24).

Results and Discussion

Table 1: Mineral composition of the selected forage plants

	Na	K	Fe	Cu	Zn	Mn	Ca	P	Mg
T ₁	1.36 ^c	1.32 ^c	0.27 ^c	0.018 ^a	0.04 ^b	0.093 ^b	0.97 ^c	0.48 ^c	0.19 ^d
T ₂	2.66 ^b	2.55 ^a	0.40 ^a	0.007 ^d	0.05 ^a	0.067 ^c	2.19 ^a	0.56 ^a	0.31 ^b
T ₃	2.72 ^a	1.53 ^b	0.20 ^e	0.073 ^a	0.03 ^c	0.43 ^a	0.12 ^e	0.34 ^e	0.40 ^a
T ₄	0.97 ^e	0.89 ^d	0.22 ^d	0.011 ^c	0.04 ^b	0.054 ^d	1.28 ^b	0.53 ^b	0.23 ^c
	1.214 ^d	0.83 ^e	0.34 ^b	0.005 ^b	0.019 ^d	0.051 ^e	0.69 ^d	0.47 ^d	0.15 ^e
SEM	0.00031	0.00030	0.00029	0.00029	0.00028	0.00026	0.00032	0.00026	0.00028

^{abcde} means along the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

T₁– *Panicum maximum*, T₂ – *Commelina benghalensis*, T₃ – *Chamaecoustus cupidatus*, T₄ – *Mimosa pudica*, T₅ – *Aspenlenum bulbiferum*

Na – Sodium, K – Potassium, Fe – Iron, Cu – Copper, Zn – Zinc, Mn – Manganese, Ca – Calcium, P – Phosphorus, Mg – Magnesium, SEM: Standard Error Mean

Table 2: Anti-nutritional factors of the selected forage plants

	Oxalate	Phytate	Saponin	Tannin
T ₁	2.77 ^b	1.25 ^c	2.76 ^e	3.43 ^b
T ₂	4.01 ^a	1.49 ^b	8.34 ^b	2.77 ^d
T ₃	2.00 ^c	2.73 ^a	6.11 ^d	2.32 ^e
T ₄	3.89 ^a	4.31 ^e	9.16 ^a	2.92 ^c
	2.20 ^d	6.24 ^d	7.96 ^c	5.36 ^a
SEM	0.44	0.003	0.003	0.21

^{abcde} means along the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

T₁– *Panicum maximum*, T₂ – *Commelina benghalensis*, T₃ – *Chamaecostus cupidatus*, T₄ – *Mimosa pudica*, T₅ – *Aspenlenum bulbiferum*, SEM: Standard Error Mean

The mineral profile of the selected plants is shown in table 1. Mineral analysis of a plant gives the idea of possibility either the plant should be used for any feeding trial purpose. There is significant variation in all the elements measured. The range of Potassium 0.83% to 2.55% in the present study fall within the recommended value of 0.80% for grazing animals (13). Potassium helps to maintain body weight and regulate water and electrolyte balance in the blood and tissues (14). The Calcium contents of the selected forage plants was higher than the values obtained by (15). The Calcium values found in this study was considered adequate for the optimum performance of ruminants. The range of phosphorus content of the plants (0.34 to 0.56%) investigated was higher compared to the NRC recommendation of 0.15% for phosphorus (16). Phosphorus plays a vital role in normal kidney functioning and transfer of nerve impulse. Phosphorus also plays an important role in carbohydrate, lipid and amino acid metabolism. Phosphorus is also required for blood coagulation, satisfactory bone calcification, optimum growth rate and optimum utilization of both calcium and phosphorus (17). The forage magnesium found in this study was above 0.12-0.20% of the requirement of ruminant's diet (16). Magnesium plays an important role in relaxing muscles along the air way to the lungs of animals; it plays important roles in

most reaction involving phosphate transfer (18).

Table 2 shows the level of anti-nutrient factors in the selected plants. There is significant variations in all the parameters measured. The phytate obtained in this study was higher than that of (19). High dietary phytate is reported to cause growth reduction (20). Phytate are found to inhibit the protease and analysis of the intestinal track (21). Oxalate content of the selected plants is higher than the reported value by (15). Forage containing oxalate is less a problem for ruminants, but at a high concentration may cause digestive disturbance (22) and even kidney failure and death (23). The differences observed in the mineral values as well as the antinutritional constituents when compared with other studies could be as a result of the seasonal or climatic factors, drying methods, stage of growth, maturity, soil types, specie or variety as well as the ambient temperature and growth environment as supported by (25).

Conclusion and Applications

1. The selected plants have the potential to offer better nutritional value.
2. High content of minerals and moderate levels of anti-nutritional factors in the leaves can improve the performance of ruminants.
3. Though browse forage species are useful in providing animals with feed

during the dry season, attempt should be made to process them thoroughly before use to reduce their negative effects on rumen microbes.

References

1. Shelton, H.M. 2004. The importance of silvipastoral systems in rural livelihoods to provide ecosystem services. Proc. Of the 12th international symposium on silvipastoral systems. Marnietje, L., Ramirez, L., Ibrahim, M., Scandoval, C., Ojeda, N. and KU, J. (eds). Universidad Antronomia de Yucatan, Merida, Yucatan, Mexico, Sept. 10-16. 2004.Pp. 158-174.
2. Babayemi, O.J., Demeyer, D. and Fievez, V. 2004. Nutritional value of qualitative assessment of secondary compound in seeds of eight tropical browse, shrub and pulse legumes. *Comm. Applied Biological Science* 69(1): 103-110.
3. Odenyo, A.A., Osuji, P.O., Karanfil, O. and Adinew, K. 1997. Microbiological evaluation of *Acacia angustissima* as a protein supplement for sheep. *Animal Feed Science Technology* 65: 99-112.
4. Okoli, I. C., Anunubi, M.O., Obua, B. E. and Enemu, V. (2003). Studies on selected browses of southeastern Nigeria with particular reference to their proximate and some endogenous anti – nutritional constituents. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. 15(9): <http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd15/9/okol159.htm>.
5. Keay, R.W.J 1989. Trees of Nigeria. Clarendon Press, Oxford, New York. pp. 34 - 46.
6. Aganga, A.A. and Tshwenyane, S.O. 2003. Feeding values and anti-nutritive factors of forage tree legumes. *Pakistan Journal Nutrition* 2 (3): 170-177.
7. Devendra, C. (1994). Composition and nutritive value of browse legumes, pp. 49-65 In: Forage tree legumes in tropical Agricultures.
8. Njidda, A.A and I. Ikhimioya, I. (2012). Anti-nutritional Constituents of Browse Plants in Animal Nutrition: A Review. *Journal of sustainable Agriculture and the environment*. 13 (1): 15 –28.
9. Soetan, K.O. and Oyewole, O.E. 2009. The need for adequate processing to reduce the anti-nutritional factors in animal feeds: A review. *African Journal Food Science* 3(9): 223-232
10. Fadiyimu, A. A, Fajemisin, A. N and Alokun, J. A. (2011). Chemical composition of selected browse plants and their acceptability by West African Dwarf sheep, *livestock research for rural development* 23(12)
11. Google Earth, 2015 – <http://www.google.earth>.
12. AOAC (1995). Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 16th ed. Arlington, Virginia, U.S.A
13. Underwood, E.J. 1981. The mineral nutrition of livestock. Common wealth Agricultural Bureaux, Slough, England, p. 10
14. NRC, 1989. National Research Council Recommended Dietary Allowance, National Academy Press, Washington D.C.
15. Ladipo, M.K. and Akinfemi, A. 2014. Evaluation of some selected browse plants as ruminant feed using *in vitro* production technique. *International Journal of Agricultural Science* 4(5): 260-266.
16. NRC, 1985. Nutrients requirements of beef cattle (17th edition). National

- Academy Press, Washington D.C.
17. Olomu, J.M. 2011. Monogastric Animal Nutrition – Principle and Practices. 2nd edn St Jackson Publishing Benin City Nigeria. 478 pp.
 18. Appel, L.J. 1999. Non-pharmacologic therapies that reduce blood pressure. A fresh perspective. *Clinical Cardiology*, 1111-1115.
 19. Alalade, J.A., Akinlade, J.A., Fajemisin, A.N., Aderinola, O.A., Muraina, T.O. and Amoo, T.A. 2016. Proximate, mineral composition and anti-nutrient contents present in *Parkia biglobosa* leaves. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production* 43(1): 253-259.
 20. Redek, M. and Savage, G.P. 2008. Oxalate in some Indian leafy vegetables. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition* 59(3): 246-260.
 21. Vaintraub, I. Bulmaga. 1991. Effect of phytate in the *in vitro* activity of digestive proteinases. *Journal Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 39: 859-861.
 22. Seifert, H.S.H. 1996. Tropical Animal Health. Kluwer Academic Press.
 23. Acamovic, T., Steward, C.S. and Pennycott, T.W. 2004. Poison plant and related toxins. Oxford University Press.
 24. SAS (Statistical Analytic System), 2005. User's Guide Statistics. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA
 25. FAO, 2011. Grassland index. A searchable catalogue of grass and forage legumes.